

ADDENDUM TO ITEM 4 - SUGAR QUAY - PLANNING APPLICATIONS SUB-COMMITTEE 9 SEPTEMBER
2025

| | |
|--|---|
| Committee: | Date: |
| Planning Applications Sub Committee | 9 September 2025 |
| Subject: Sugar Quay Jetty Use of part of Sugar Quay Jetty for seating and service in association with the restaurant and drinking establishment use (Sui Generis) located on the ground floor of the adjacent Sugar Quay, Lower Thames Street, together with associated works including installation of furniture, and perimeter planters and benches. | Public |
| Ward: Billingsgate | For Decision |
| Registered No: 24/01196/FULL | Registered on: 13 November 2024 |
| Conservation Area: N/A | Listed Building: No |

Amendments to the Committee Report

Additional Representations

1. Identical representations were submitted by Billingsgate Ward members Alderman Bronek Masojada and Common Councillor Melissa Collett, dated 29th August 2025. They objected to the proposal on three main grounds: increased noise and disturbance from the change of use and associated servicing across the Thames Walkway; loss of public amenity space due to the occupation of part of the jetty; and concerns that the applicant lacks authority to use the space contrary to restrictions in the River Works Licence, which designates the area for private residential amenity only. They consider these issues sufficient to warrant refusal. In contrast, they express support for the Custom House proposals, which they view as better managed and more appropriate for the riverside context. Full copies of the representations are appended to this addendum.
2. Officers note that the issues have been raised by other objectors, and these issues are already assessed in the main body of the report.
3. The River Works Licence referenced is a separate regulatory regime from planning. As set out in the Report (see “Consultation” and “Land Use” sections), the PLA were consulted on this application and raised no objection to the proposed use of the jetty. As set out in the Committee Report (see “Consultation” and “Land Use” sections), the PLA were consulted on this application and raised no objection to the proposed use of the jetty. The 2019 PLA agreement does not alter the planning merits of the proposal or the recommendation before Committee.

Update to Public Comments Pack

4. Objections available online and summarised within the main report were not appended as background papers in error. Please note these representations were already listed in the committee report Appendix A, and have already been considered in the officer’s assessment. These include the following representations:

| | |
|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 23/05/2025 - 23:48 | Stella L |
| 27/05/2025 - 16:12 | Dadds LLP |
| 30/05/2025 - 19:37 | Miss Amerjit Grewal |
| 30/05/2025 - 20:35 | Mrs Judith Golova |
| 31/05/2025 - 05:21 | Mr Ben Lawn |
| 03/06/2025 - 10:43 | Mr ROBERT DEELEY |
| 03/06/2025 - 11:39 | Ms Martha Grekos |
| 03/06/2025 - 11:42 | Ms Martha Grekos |
| 03/06/2025 - 16:12 | River Residents Group |
| 03/06/2025 - 19:12 | Martha Grekos |
| 04/06/2025 - 06:53 | Fiona Lin |
| 06/06/2025 - 17:59 | Mr Keith Mansfield |
| 13/06/2025 - 14:14 | Dr Marie Shaylor |

5. Copies of these objections are now appended to this addendum for completeness.
6. 61 objections have been received in total.

Edits to report text

7. Paragraphs 165 and 166 were incorrect and should be disregarded from the report.